

UNITED STATES DE RTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/469,499 12/22/99 SUGAHARA Т 041-1790B **EXAMINER** LM02/0626 LOWE HAUPTMAN GOPSTEIN GILMAN & BERNER PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 310 ALEXANDRIA VA 22314 2713 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

06/26/00

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/469,499	<u> </u>	Takayuki Su	ganara
	Examiner Y. Lee		Group Art Unit 2713	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·
☐ This action is FINAL .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,			n as to the me	rits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fa application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ex 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ilure to respond with	in the period	for response	will cause the
Disposition of Claims				·
		is/a	re pending in t	he application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim(s)			_ is/are allowe	ed.
Claim(s)			_ is/are rejecte	ed.
Claim(s)			_ is/are object	ed to.
	are sub	ject to restri	ction or election	on requirement.
Application Papers				
\square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr	awing Review, PTO-	948.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the E	xaminer.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗌 a	pproved	disapproved.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	er.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri				
	iles of the priority do	cuments nav	e been	
□ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial	ıl Number) 08/9	140 941		
☐ received in this national stage application from	n the International Bu		_	
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		C 5 110(a)		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 O.S	.C. 3 119(e)	•	
Attachment(s)				
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pa	ner No(s) 2			
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	per 140(3)			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P	ГО-948			
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				

-- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES --

Application/Control Number: 09/469,499

Art Unit: 2713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Claims 18-22 show five embodiments as illustrated in Figures 1-16.
 - (1) species I, Figure 1;
 - (2) species II, Figure 5;
 - (3) species III, Figures 7 and 10;
 - (4) species IV, Figures 11 and 13; and
 - (5) species V, Figures 14-16.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species with the appropriate Figure(s) of the drawings that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Application/Control Number: 09/469,499

Page 3

Art Unit: 2713

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-7584.

Y. LEE

PRIMARY EXAMINER